

The modified Seiberg-Witten monopole equations and their exact solutions

Zhong-Qi Ma *

Institute of High Energy Physics, P.O.Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, The People's Republic of China

Bo-Yuan Hou

Graduate School, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, The People's Republic of China

The modified Seiberg-Witten monopole equations are presented in this letter. These equations have analytic solutions in the whole 1+3 Minkowski space with finite energy. The physical meaning of the equations and solutions are discussed here.

Recently, Witten [1] developed an elegant dual approach, that much simplified the Donaldson theory [2] of four-manifolds. This approach starts from the Seiberg-Witten monopole equations [3]

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^4 \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \gamma_{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} - ieW_{\mu}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^+(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) \gamma_{\mu\nu}^+ \psi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (2)$$

Witten noted that in the flat space the Seiberg-Witten equations admit no L^2 solutions. However, Freund [4] found a simple *non* - L^2 solution, based on a fermion moving in a U(1) Dirac monopole field [5]. This solution has singularity at the origin, and a singular string from the monopole that can be removed by the concept of section [6].

It is reasonable to extend Freund's solution by considering the solution based on a fermion moving in the smooth 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole field [7,8]. This solution is analytic in the whole space with finite energy such that it could not satisfy the Seiberg-Witten equations, but the modified ones. In other words, the second Seiberg-Witten equation (2) has to be modified to meet the SU(2) monopole solution.

As pointed out by Freund [4] that the spinor in his solution satisfies the Weyl-Dirac equation, and the spinor field constructs the Coulomb field. It is our starting point that these important properties should be kept in the modified equations and the solutions.

Prasad-Sommerfield [8] found the analytic SU(2) monopole solution with finite energy. If one changes the Higgs field into the fourth component of the gauge potential, an analytic, self-dual, static and spherically symmetric SU(2) monopole solution without external source can be obtained uniquely [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W} &= \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}} \wedge \mathbf{T}}{er} (1 - r\phi(r)), \quad W_4 = \frac{iG(r)}{e} \mathbf{T} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}, \\ \phi(r) &= \frac{\beta}{\sinh(\beta r)} \sim \begin{cases} r^{-1} & \text{when } r \longrightarrow 0 \\ O(e^{-\beta r}) & \text{when } r \longrightarrow \infty \end{cases}, \\ iG(r) &= r^{-1} - \beta \coth(\beta r) \sim \begin{cases} O(r) & \text{when } r \longrightarrow 0 \\ -\beta & \text{when } r \longrightarrow \infty \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

*Electronic address: MAZQ@BEPC3.IHEP.AC.CN

where e is the unit electric charge, $\hat{r}$ is the unit radial vector, β is a constant with positive real part, and $\mathbf{T}$ are the SU(2) generators in the isospin space.

The gauge field

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W_\nu - \partial_\nu W_\mu - ie(W_\mu W_\nu - W_\nu W_\mu) \quad (4)$$

is self-dual such that the magnetic field $B_k = \epsilon_{ijk} G_{ij}$ is equal to the electric field $-iE_k = G_{k4}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} &= -i\mathbf{E} = B_{\parallel}(r)\hat{r}(\mathbf{T} \cdot \hat{r}) + B_{\perp}(r)(\mathbf{T} - \hat{r}(\mathbf{T} \cdot \hat{r})), \\ B_{\parallel}(r) &= -\frac{1}{er^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{e \sinh^2(\beta r)} \sim \begin{cases} -\beta^2/(3e^2) & \text{when } r \rightarrow 0 \\ -1/(er^2) & \text{when } r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}, \\ B_{\perp}(r) &= \frac{\beta}{er \sinh(\beta r)} - \frac{\beta^2 \coth(\beta r)}{e \sinh(\beta r)} \sim \begin{cases} -\beta^2/(3e^2) & \text{when } r \rightarrow 0 \\ O(e^{-\beta r}) & \text{when } r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Note that $B_{\parallel}(r)$ and $B_{\perp}(r)$ tend to the same constant when r goes to zero so that it cancels to each other and $\mathbf{B}$ is single-valued at the origin.

There is a standard technique [10–12] to represent two SU(2) spins in a vector representation. We sketch the idea in the following.

Transform the spinor part of magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ into 2×2 matrix:

$$\sigma \cdot \mathbf{B} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{\tau=-1}^1 \sigma^k B_k^{\tau} T_{\tau}, \quad B(\mathbf{r})_{ab}^{\tau} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_{ab}^k B_k^{\tau}, \quad (6)$$

where σ^k is the Pauli matrix with two spinor subscripts a and $b = \pm 1/2$, and T_{τ} is another basis of isospin generator with subscript $\tau = 1, 0$, and -1 ,

$$T_1 = -2^{-1/2}(T_x + iT_y), \quad T_0 = T_z, \quad T_{-1} = 2^{-1/2}(T_x - iT_y). \quad (7)$$

Now, removing the basis T_{τ} , we can rewrite the self-dual gauge field $B(\mathbf{r})$ as a direct product of a 2×2 matrix and a 3×1 matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} B(\mathbf{r}) &= B_{\parallel}(r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \cos \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ B_{\perp}(r) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta & \cos \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \cos \theta e^{i\varphi} & \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ -\sin \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ie^{-i\varphi} \\ ie^{i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} i2^{-1/2} e^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 \\ i2^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The second column of the spinor part is nothing but the complex conjugate of the first column:

$$B(\mathbf{r})_{a(-1/2)}^{\tau} = \sum_{c=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\lambda=-1}^1 d_{ac}^{1/2}(\pi) d_{\tau\lambda}^1(\pi) \left\{ B(\mathbf{r})_{c(1/2)}^{\lambda} \right\}^*, \quad d_{ab}^j(\pi) = (-1)^{j+a} \delta_{a(-b)}, \quad (9)$$

where the combinative coefficients have to be included due to the similarity transformations $d^j(\pi)$ between two equivalent representations $D^j(SU(2))$ and $D^j(SU(2))^*$. Hence, removing the second column of the spinor part, we define self-dual field $G^+(\mathbf{r})$ that is a direct product of two-component spinor and three-component isospinor:

$$G^+(\mathbf{r})_a^{\tau} = B(\mathbf{r})_{a(1/2)}^{\tau},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G^+(\mathbf{r}) = & B_{\parallel}(r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \cos \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \\
& + B_{\perp}(r) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ -\sin \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ ie^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} i2^{-1/2} e^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 \\ i2^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ be a spinor field with spin 1/2 and isospin 1, and satisfy the Weyl-Dirac equation (1). By making use of the following γ matrices:

$$\vec{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\vec{\sigma} \\ i\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

we may introduce the two-component spinor fields with isospin 1:

$$u^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_5) \psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} u^+(\mathbf{r}) \\ u^-(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Now, we modify the second Seiberg-Witten monopole equation (2) as follows:

$$G^+(\mathbf{r})_a^{\tau} = u^-(\mathbf{r})_a^{\tau}, \quad (13)$$

where the subscripts $a = \pm 1/2$, and $\tau = 1, 0, -1$. The main change from Eq.(2) to Eq.(13) is that the bilinear form of ψ in Eq.(2) becomes the linear form in Eq.(13). It has a great merit of this change that the modified equations have analytic solutions in the whole Minkowski space with finite energy.

Twenty years ago the spinor solutions with isospin 1 of the Weyl-Dirac equation (1) were obtained [12–14] where the spinor field moves in an analytic, self-dual, static and spherically symmetric SU(2) monopole field without external source. Now, we sketch the calculation as follows.

Equation (1) is spherically symmetric so that the general angular momentum $\mathbf{J}$ is conserved:

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \vec{\sigma}/2. \quad (14)$$

The two-component spinors $u_{jm}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r})$ can be expanded by the common eigenfunctions of J^2 , J_z , S^2 , $S \cdot \hat{r}$, T^2 and $T \cdot \hat{r}$:

$$u_{jm}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r})_a^{\tau} = \sum_{b=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\lambda=-1}^1 f_{jmb\lambda}^{\pm}(r) \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r})_a^{\tau}, \quad (15)$$

$$\eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r})_a^{\tau} = \left(\frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} D_{m(b+\lambda)}^j(\varphi, \theta, 0)^* D_{ab}^{1/2}(\varphi, \theta, 0) D_{\tau\lambda}^1(\varphi, \theta, 0), \quad (16)$$

where θ and φ are the polar and azimuthal angles of $\hat{r}$, the spinor subscript a runs over $\pm 1/2$ and the isospinor subscript τ runs over 1, 0, and -1 . Expressing the spinor and the isospinor as a column matrix, respectively, we may rewrite $\eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r})$ as a direct product of a 2×1 matrix and a 3×1 matrix, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
J^2 \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) &= j(j+1) \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}), \quad S^2 \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) = (3/4) \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}), \quad T^2 \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) = 2 \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}), \\
J_z \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) &= m \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}), \quad (S \cdot \hat{r}) \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) = b \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}), \quad (T \cdot \hat{r}) \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) = \lambda \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}). \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show the following formulas:

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{D} = (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) - r^{-1} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}) K + i\phi(r) \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{r} \wedge \mathbf{T}),$$

$$K = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \{ \mathbf{r} \wedge (-i \nabla - \mathbf{r} \wedge \mathbf{T}/r^2) \} + 1, \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{r} \wedge \mathbf{T}) \eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) &= i2bA_{b\lambda}\eta_{m(-b)(\lambda+2b)}^j(\hat{r}), \quad A_{b\lambda} = \{(9/4) - (b+\lambda)^2\}^{1/2} \\ K\eta_{mb\lambda}^j(\hat{r}) &= K_\lambda\eta_{m(-b)\lambda}^j(\hat{r}), \quad K_\lambda = \{(j+1/2)^2 - \lambda^2\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence, equation (1) becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) f_{jmb\lambda}^\pm(r) - K_\lambda r^{-1} f_{jm(-b)\lambda}^\pm(r) + A_{b\lambda} \phi(r) f_{jm(-b)(\lambda+2b)}^\pm(r) = \pm iG(r) 2b\lambda f_{jmb\lambda}^\pm(r). \quad (20)$$

From the convergent condition, the only analytical solutions are obtained for $j = 1/2$ [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{jmb\lambda}^+(r) &= 0, \\ f_{(1/2)m(1/2)0}^-(r) &= -f_{(1/2)m(-1/2)0}^-(r) = c_m B_\parallel(r), \\ f_{(1/2)m(1/2)(-1)}^-(r) &= -f_{(1/2)m(-1/2)1}^-(r) = c_m \sqrt{2} B_\perp(r). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where c_m is an arbitrary constant due to the linear property of Eq.(1), and $B_\parallel$ and $B_\perp$ were given in Eq.(5). The rest components $f_{jmb\lambda}^-(r)$ are vanishing.

In terms of the exact forms of $D_{mm'}^j(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{mm'}^j(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \sum_n \frac{(-1)^n \{(j+m)!(j-m)!(j+m')!(j-m')!\}^{1/2}}{(j+m-n)!(j-m'-n)!n!(n-m+m')!} \\ &\cdot e^{-im\alpha} (\cos(\beta/2))^{2j+m-m'-2n} (\sin(\beta/2))^{2n-m+m'} e^{-im'\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{(1/2)(1/2)0}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) - \eta_{(1/2)(-1/2)0}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \cos \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \eta_{(-1/2)(1/2)0}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) - \eta_{(-1/2)(-1/2)0}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \cos \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \eta_{(1/2)(1/2)(-1)}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) - \eta_{(1/2)(-1/2)1}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) \\ &= (4\pi)^{-1/2} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ -\sin \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} + (4\pi)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ ie^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} i2^{-1/2} e^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 \\ i2^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\ \eta_{(-1/2)(1/2)(-1)}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) - \eta_{(-1/2)(-1/2)1}^{1/2}(\hat{r}) \\ &= (4\pi)^{-1/2} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ -\sin \theta \\ 2^{-1/2} \cos \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} + (4\pi)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} -ie^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} i2^{-1/2} e^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 \\ i2^{-1/2} e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

If we choose the constant c_m in (21) as follows:

$$c_{1/2} = c_{-1/2} = (2\pi)^{1/2}, \quad (24)$$

then, $u_{jmb\lambda}^+(\mathbf{r}) = 0$, and $u_{(1/2)(1/2)b\lambda}^-(\mathbf{r})$ just satisfies the modified Seiberg-Witten monopole equations (1) and (13). It is evident that $u_{(1/2)(1/2)}^-(\mathbf{r})$ and $u_{(1/2)(-1/2)}^-(\mathbf{r})$ satisfy a complex conjugate relation like Eq.(9):

$$u_{(1/2)(-1/2)}^-(\mathbf{r})_a^\tau = \sum_{c=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\lambda=-1}^1 d_{ac}^{1/2}(\pi) d_{\tau\lambda}^1(\pi) \left(u_{(1/2)(1/2)}^-(\mathbf{r})_c^\lambda \right)^*, \quad (25)$$

In summary, the modified Seiberg-Witten equations consist of Eq.(1) and Eq.(13). Equation (1) describes a spinor field with isospin 1 moving in an analytic, self-dual, static and spherically symmetric SU(2) monopole field without external source. And equation (13) shows that the spinor field relates with the gauge field directly. It is the reason why the gauge field still satisfies the Yang-Mills equation without external source.

Since the gauge field is a hedgehog solution, its integral on a closed spherical face is vanishing:

$$\int \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0. \quad (25)$$

But,

$$\frac{e}{8\pi} Tr \left\{ \int \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{T} \cdot \hat{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} \right\} = er^2 B_{\parallel}(r) \sim -1, \quad \text{when } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (26)$$

This is the first Chern number of the gauge field, and the asymptotic form shows that the total magnetic charge is $-1/e$. Furthermore,

$$(4\pi r^2)^{-1} Tr \left\{ \int \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma} dS \right\} = 2B_{\parallel}(r)^2 + 4B_{\perp}(r)^2. \quad (27)$$

On the other hand, the spinor field $\psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r})$ with $j = 1/2$ satisfies:

$$(4\pi r^2)^{-1} \int \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r})^\dagger (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}) \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r}) dS = (4\pi r^2)^{-1} \int \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r})^\dagger (\mathbf{T} \cdot \hat{r}) \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r}) dS = 0. \quad (28)$$

$$(4\pi r^2)^{-1} \int \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r})^\dagger \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r}) dS = (4\pi) (B_{\parallel}(r)^2 + 2B_{\perp}(r)^2). \quad (29)$$

Therefore,

$$(16\pi^2)^{-1} Tr \left\{ \int \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma} dS \right\} = (32\pi^3)^{-1} \int \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r})^\dagger \psi_{jm}(\mathbf{r}) dS. \quad (30)$$

where the integrand on the left-hand-side of Eq.(30) is nothing but the second Chern class.

The physical meaning of the modified Seiberg-Witten monopole equations should be further explored, and new solutions will be sought later.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Prof. Yu-Fen Liu and Mr. Fu-Zhong Yang for useful discussions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Grant No. LWTZ-1298 of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

- [1] E. Witten, Math. Res. Lett. **1**, 769 (1994).
- [2] S. Donaldson, J. Diff. Geom. **18**, 279 (1983); Topology **29**, 257 (1993).
- [3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426**, 19 (1994).
- [4] P. G. O. Freund, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 2673 (1995).
- [5] P. A. M. Dirac, Phys. Rev. **74**, 817 (1948).
- [6] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **D12**, 3845 (1975).
- [7] 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B79**, 276 (1974); A. M. Polyakov, JETP Lett. **20**, 194 (1974).
- [8] M. K. Prasad and C. M. Sommerfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 760 (1975).
- [9] Bo-Yu Hou and Bo-Yuan Hou, Phys. Ener. Fort. Phys. Nucl. **3**, 255 (1979), English version: Chin. Phys. **1**, 624 (1981).
- [10] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 3398 (1976).
- [11] L. S. Brown, R. D. Carlitz and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D16**, 242 (1978).
- [12] E. Mottola, Phys. Lett. **79B**, 242 (1978).
- [13] Bo-Yu Hou and Bo-Yuan Hou, Phys. Ener. Fort. Phys. Nucl. **3**, 697 (1979).
- [14] P. Rossi, Phys. Report **86**, 317 (1982).
- [15] M. E. Rose, *Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1957.